**Introduction**

Is every student on your college campus ready to learn? High school grades and/or standardized test scores are often used to answer that question, but those numbers do not consider a more essential form of readiness: basic needs security. If a student has not eaten sufficient nutritious food or slept the night before a class or exam, they will have difficulty mastering the material and performing well.¹

There is growing evidence that food and housing insecurity compromise the well-being of thousands of undergraduates across the country, reducing the odds that they will complete degrees. A recent study of more than 33,000 community college students found that one-third had the very lowest levels of food security and could be considered hungry, while just over 50% were housing insecure. Fourteen percent of those students were homeless.² Studies conducted at four-year colleges and universities suggest that hunger affects about one-fifth of those students.³

Assessing food and housing security among students produces numbers that may be used to support educational success. For example, the results will help answer questions such as:

- How many students could benefit from additional supports from campus food pantries, emergency aid, crisis housing, or other interventions?
- Which students ought to be flagged in early alert systems for additional outreach?
- To what extent should the security of students’ basic needs become a campus priority, especially when it comes to retention efforts?

The results can be used to support fundraising efforts, guide campus decision-making about key investments, and generate new ideas for how to improve degree completion rates. They can also help inform conversations about student well-being.

This guide describes how to perform two types of studies:

- Surveys to assess basic needs security; and
- Opportunistic small scale experiments to evaluate the effectiveness of programs meant to address basic needs security.

Drawing on our experiences conducting research on basic needs security at colleges around the nation, our team at the Wisconsin HOPE Lab produced this guide to support your own efforts. The most effective assessments of basic needs security will occur with the cooperation of institutional administrators and include the entire student body in the survey effort, but the practices we describe can be used by those conducting smaller surveys, too. Once the need for more support is established and programs developed, evaluations of those efforts should occur in order to ensure that they are effective. We provide guidance for those evaluations as well.
As you read this guide, please keep in mind that basic needs security among college students is an emergent field. Many of the best practices are still developing. One of the most difficult questions is how best to assess whether students' basic needs are met, and the survey items and recommendations for analysis contained in this guide may change as researchers develop further understanding of how students experience and communicate material hardships. This guide therefore represents the current state of the field. We expect to update it as we learn more and plan to release version 2.0 in early 2018.

Defining and Assessing Basic Needs Security

An individual’s basic needs begin with food and shelter, along with water and safety, and assessments of basic needs security in higher education therefore focus on measuring food and housing insecurity, as well as homelessness.

**Food insecurity** is the limited or uncertain availability of nutritionally adequate and safe foods, or the ability to acquire such foods in a socially acceptable manner. The most extreme form is often accompanied with physiological sensations of hunger. **Homelessness** means that a person is without a place to live, often residing in a shelter, an automobile, an abandoned building or outside, while **housing insecurity** includes a broader set of challenges such as the inability to pay rent or utilities or the need to move frequently.

Accurately assessing basic needs security requires using validated, standardized measures that are respected by the scientific, policy, and advocacy communities. This is easier to do with regard to food security, where measures are widely agreed upon, than with housing security, where more controversy over appropriate measurement exists. Next, we provide the measures employed by the Wisconsin HOPE Lab as well as other researchers studying higher education, and recommend their use to facilitate national comparisons.

**Food Insecurity:**

We recommend assessing food insecurity using either the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)-approved 10-item or 6-item survey modules of food security. The USDA recommends using the 10-item scale, although the 6-item scale has been shown to give similar results. Researchers should choose the scale that best fits their context and the space available in their surveys. The questions can refer to either the prior 30 days or 12 months, and that timing should be considered when deciding when to distribute the survey. This scale is most appropriate for students without children, and an alternative scale with additional questions may be used for parenting students.
USDA Food Security Survey Module: 10-Item Form

STAGE 1
1. “I worried whether my food would run out before I got money to buy more.” Was that often true, sometimes true, or never true for you in the last 30 days (12 months)?
2. “The food that I bought just didn’t last, and I didn’t have money to get more.” Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 30 days (12 months)?
3. “I couldn’t afford to eat balanced meals.” Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 30 days (12 months)?

IF THE RESPONDENT ANSWERS “OFTEN TRUE” OR “SOMETIMES TRUE” TO ANY OF THE THREE QUESTIONS IN STAGE 1, THEN PROCEED TO STAGE 2.

STAGE 2 (YES/NO QUESTIONS)
4. In the last 30 days (12 months, since last (name of current month)), did you ever cut the size of your meals or skip meals because there wasn’t enough money for food?
5. [IF YES TO QUESTION 4, ASK]
   If using the 30 day version: In the last 30 days, how many days did this happen?
   If using the 12 month version: How often did this happen – almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?
6. In the last 30 days (12 months), did you ever eat less than you felt you should because there wasn’t enough money for food?
7. In the last 30 days (12 months), were you ever hungry but didn’t eat because there wasn’t enough money for food?
8. In the last 30 days (12 months), did you lose weight because there wasn’t enough money for food?

IF THE RESPONDENT ANSWERS “YES” TO ANY OF THE QUESTIONS IN STAGE 2, THEN PROCEED TO STAGE 3

STAGE 3
9. In the last 30 days (12 months), did you ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn’t enough money for food?
10. [IF YES TO QUESTION 9, ASK]
    If using the 30 day version: In the last 30 days, how many days did this happen?
    If using the 12 month version: How often did this happen – almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?
USDA Food Security Survey Module: Six-Item Short Form

1. The food that I bought just didn’t last, and I didn’t have money to get more. Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 30 days (12 months)?
2. I couldn’t afford to eat balanced meals. Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 30 days (12 months)?
3. In the last 30 days (12 months), did you ever cut the size of your meals or skip meals because there wasn’t enough money for food?
4. [IF YES TO QUESTION 3, ASK]
   If using the 30 day version: In the last 30 days, how many days did this happen?
   If using the 12 month version: How often did this happen – almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?
5. In the last 30 days (12 months) did you ever eat less than you felt you should because there wasn’t enough money for food?
6. In the last 30 days (12 months), were you ever hungry but didn’t eat because there wasn’t enough money for food?

Housing Insecurity and Homelessness:

There is widespread debate over the best ways to measure housing security, and homelessness in particular. One reason is that housing security takes somewhat different forms depending on age and circumstances. Noted researcher Paul Toro recently remarked that the phrase “homeless college student” seems like “a contradiction in terms”. Consider that couch surfing may look different for an 11-year-old whose parents have passed away as compared to a 40-year-old with relationship troubles who is staying with friends while he figures out his next move. When it comes to serving homeless youth, a more inclusive definition of housing security is preferable. But given persistent stereotypes of undergraduates that undergird resistance to addressing housing for that population, the Wisconsin HOPE Lab utilizes a narrower approach to measurement, relying on a series of questions adapted from the national Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) Adult Well-Being Module to measure students’ access to and ability to pay for safe and reliable housing.

For housing insecurity and homelessness, we recommend surveying students on their experiences both in the past 30 days and in the past 12 months. Students who indicate housing insecurity or homelessness in the past 30 days may be more insecure than students who indicate insecurity only in the past 12 months. Surveying students on both time periods provides a more nuanced measure of student need.
Housing Insecurity

1. In the past 30 days (12 months), was there a rent or mortgage increase that made it difficult to pay?\(^\text{12}\)
2. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you not pay or underpay your rent or mortgage?
3. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you not pay the full amount of a gas, oil, or electricity bill?
4. In the past 30 days (12 months), have you moved two times or more?
5. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you move in with other people, even for a little while, because of financial problems?
6. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you “live with others beyond the expected capacity of the house or apartment”?

Homelessness

1. In the past 30 days (12 months), were you thrown out of your home by someone else in the household?
2. In the past 30 days (12 months), were you evicted from your home?
3. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you stay at a shelter?
4. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you stay in an abandoned building, an automobile, or any other place not meant for regular housing, even for one night?
5. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you not know where you were going to sleep at night, even for one night?
6. Currently, where do you live? (Include choices such as house, duplex or multi-family house, apartment, college residence hall or dormitory, and do not have a home.)

When assessing food insecurity, housing insecurity, and homelessness, it can be useful to also ask additional questions to help contextualize the responses. For example, the survey might include information about whether a student works, receives financial aid, and/or accesses supports such as food from campus food pantries. Examples of those questions and the sorts of analyses that could be conducted can be found in the Wisconsin HOPE Lab reports and books listed at the end of this guide.

Gathering Data on Basic Needs Security

College transcripts and financial aid applications provide little information about the security of students’ basic needs. While the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) asks some questions about homelessness, the results greatly underestimate the number of students experiencing that condition since only students who complete the FAFSA and paperwork or an interview verifying their homelessness are counted.\(^\text{13}\) Instead, student surveys are the best way to assess how many students experience food and/or housing insecurity. Here are responses to common questions about how to conduct those surveys:\(^\text{14}\)
Q: What is involved in fielding a basic needs security survey, and who should do it?

A: Successfully fielding a high-quality survey requires asking good questions, identifying appropriate samples, recruiting students and gaining their participation, analyzing data, and writing up the results. The person conducting the survey needs to have access to accurate contact information for students, preferably including more than one email address. It is also important to have resources to offer incentives to students for participation, and the scientific knowledge required to execute the steps described above. For these reasons, the Institutional Research (IR) office is the best-equipped to lead these survey efforts, along with professional researchers, and students and staff should endeavor to work with the IR office if possible.

Q: What legal permissions are needed in order to do a basic needs security survey?

A: In order to protect students, the approval of each participating institution’s Institutional Review Board (IRB) may need to be secured before surveys may be conducted. Unfortunately, every IRB makes its own rules and has its own application and review procedures.

If the survey is led by an employee of the college or university in order to better serve students, it may not be necessary to get students’ consent or IRB approval, but if the data is being collected for public reporting, or for more general research purposes, then students’ consent may need to be obtained and all proper legal channels utilized.

Therefore, we strongly recommend that before initiating a basic needs security survey, contact each participating college or university’s IRB and ask whether it is necessary to apply for approval. Be sure to provide the following information:
• The research team’s intent to survey students.
• The goal(s) of the survey—is it strictly to improve services for students at the institution, or does the research team intend to use the data to generalize beyond the institution, building knowledge in the field?
• Whether and how the research team intends to share the results, for example, in an internal campus report or in a published research paper.

Q: Which students—and how many students—should be included in a basic needs security survey?

A: If the basic needs security assessment aims to describe the prevalence of food and housing insecurity on campus, then the full population of enrolled students should be included in the survey. Utilize a list of enrolled students provided by the institution rather than recruiting students using tables on campus or other methods driven by convenience. This is critical to ensuring that students in the survey are representative of students on campus. The assessment could focus on a specific
group—for example, undergraduates only, rather than all students—but it should be administered to everyone in that group.

Of course, where resources are limited, focusing on a smaller sample of students may be necessary. Using a smaller sample requires additional expertise, however, and in particular it demands additional information upfront—and time to devote to the sampling process—so that the resulting sample is useful.\textsuperscript{15} Simply sampling a smaller group of students at random is inadvisable, since the resulting group may include too few members of key subgroups. Instead, identify the groups of students who might be at risk of basic needs insecurity, and then draw samples within those groups. This is called a “stratified sampling strategy” and the samples should be proportional and drawn at random.

Example: A large university wishes to survey just 1,000 of its students and is especially concerned about Pell Grant recipients (40% of its students). The researcher must first divide the total student body into two groups (Pell recipients and non-Pell recipients). Next, the researcher should randomly select 400 Pell recipients and 600 non-Pell recipients in order to ensure that the proportion of Pell recipients in the survey sample matches the proportion in the total student body.

If only a sample of students will be surveyed, rather than all students, then it is important to ensure that enough students are included so that the sample can be used to accurately represent the prevalence of basic needs security on campus. One major determinant of how many people the survey should include is the expected response rate. If the research team can convince most students to take the survey, then it can be sent to fewer people. But if, like at many colleges and universities, expected response rates are low, then the research team will need to survey many students. In the next section we discuss ways to maximize response rates. Generally, without sizable incentives to pay to students and resources to track down those who do not answer, the research team should anticipate low response rates—around 5 to 10 percent.

Another consideration is how confident the research team wants to be in estimating the prevalence of basic needs security on campus. More certainty requires more students in the survey. For example, if there are 10,000 students on campus and the research team wants to be at least 95% sure that the estimate is on target, then aim to get at least 400 students to take the survey – which likely requires contacting at least 4,000 students.\textsuperscript{16}
Q: How should we recruit students to a basic needs security survey?

A: It can be very difficult to get students to take surveys, and especially surveys administered online—which are often the only feasible option given scarce resources. Since estimates of basic needs security on campus depend on who takes the survey, it is important to do everything possible to maximize response rates—in other words, to get surveyed students to answer the questions.

But what researchers cannot do, without risking biasing the results, is to recruit for the survey by talking about hunger and homelessness on campus and urging people to take the survey because they might be at risk. This will likely lead to results that over-state how common these issues are on campus. For example, avoid:

- Engaging in surveys in or near programs focusing on food insecurity, such as a campus food pantry.
- Calling out food or housing insecurity in recruitment materials, such as hashtags or phrases calling out student hunger.
- Advertising surveys as part of campus-wide initiatives to address basic needs insecurity.

Instead, the research team should administer the survey as an effort to generally understand how students are doing, and treat every student the same when fielding the survey. When designing a successful recruitment process, include the following steps:

1. **Design an effective invitation to the survey.** At the Wisconsin HOPE Lab, we appeal to the student’s sense of social responsibility to their peers and to their college (see Sample Survey Recruitment Letter in Appendix A1), helping motivate them to participate. Campus basic needs assessments are often undertaken as a component of a larger strategy to help students graduate. When students understand that taking the survey will directly inform that strategy and improve the lives of their friends and colleagues, they are more likely to respond.

2. **Provide incentives.** What will students receive as compensation for doing the survey? Ideally, every student would be offered a little money upfront and a payment for doing the survey, but this is often impossible. Instead, consider raffling off gift cards, iPads, etc. Check with each participating campus’s Institutional Research office for ideas, and reach out to the institution’s Foundation for support. The information used from the basic needs security survey can be successfully leveraged for fundraising purposes, and so the Foundation may consider it a good investment to support efforts to get students’ responses.

**KEY TERMS**

**Response rate** – the number of people who answered a survey divided by the number of people who received an offer to take the survey.

**Bias** – when a sample statistic is systematically different than the actual value in the overall population. For example, a homelessness statistic from a college survey will be biased if the students answering the survey are more likely to be homeless than students in the overall college population.
Q: How should we administer a basic needs security survey?

A: Surveys can be administered in a variety of ways, including by phone, via mail, or in person. Each form of administration has its own mix of benefits and drawbacks in terms of relative data quality, level of student response, and cost. Web-based internet surveys are generally the most effective and inexpensive way to gather student data for campus basic needs security assessments. Online survey software such as Qualtrics or Survey Monkey can simplify both survey creation and administration and are often free to college campuses. For more information about the advantages and limitations of web-surveys, as well as of other forms of survey administration, we refer the reader to this excellent and practical guide by Don Dillman and colleagues on survey design and administration.17

Q: How should we analyze and report on the results of a basic needs security survey?

A: When students finish taking the survey, prepare to look at the data. Begin by looking to see how many students responded, and in particular how many responded to the questions on food and housing insecurity (versus other questions included on the survey). Then, proceed to analyze the data in the following manner:

Step 1: Look at who took the survey.

Are the respondents similar to other students on campus? Find ways to compare them, for example by checking their self-reported demographic and academic characteristics against campus averages. Be sure to focus on attributes that matter for how students fare in college—things like gender, race, age, marital status, number of children, Pell-eligibility status, and first generation status, as well as academic information such as enrollment level and year in school. If some groups are over-represented or under-represented in the survey’s sample, make a note of that. It may be possible to use “survey weights” to adjust the results so that they are more representative of the full student body, and on-campus experts on the faculty or staff might be able to help do that.

Step 2: Calculate rates of food and housing insecurity

Along with the Food Security Survey Module, the USDA provides a simple methodology for determining survey respondents’ levels of food security. To calculate a raw score, simply count the number of questions that a student answers affirmatively (questions with choices of “often true”, “sometimes true”, and “never true” should be counted as a “Yes” if students answer “often” or “sometimes.” For questions that ask about the frequency of an occurrence, answers of 3 days or more should be counted as “Yes” in the 30-day version, and answers of “almost every month” and “some months but not every month” should be counted as “Yes” in the 12-month version. Translate the raw score into food security levels as follows:18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw Score</th>
<th>10-item</th>
<th>6-item</th>
<th>Food security level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Students are counted as housing insecure if they answered “Yes” to any of the six housing insecurity questions on page 6. Similarly, students are counted as homeless if they answered affirmatively to any of the six homelessness questions. (Count question 6 as “Yes” if the student answers that they do not have a home.) Researchers should calculate food and housing insecurity status for both the full sample and by important demographic subgroups, e.g. race, first generation status, Pell receipt, etc.

**Step 3: Examine the relationship between other student issues and food and housing insecurity**

Do students who are food-insecure receive financial aid? Do they work? How often are homeless students finding that they are financially stressed? These are the sorts of questions the research team can examine next based on which additional questions were included in the survey.

**Step 4: Prepare the report**

As the research team writes up the results from the campus basic needs security survey, be sure to include details on how the survey was conducted - information on who was surveyed, what incentives were provided, etc. - these things are critical for readers trying to understand the results. Include information not only on how many students responded, but how many were surveyed, and include the results of the analysis on how those groups differ.

The report itself may wish to reference prior studies of basic needs security at other institutions, and the Wisconsin HOPE Lab is maintaining a useful compilation of studies for that purpose. Go to our website to find the “annotated bibliography” and include information for readers on how the results compare to results at other comparable institutions.
Q: How can we effectively disseminate the results of a basic needs security survey?

A: Surveys of student food and housing insecurity can be effective tools for motivating federal, state, and institutional policy changes to help struggling students. For this reason, it is important to ensure that survey results reach a wide audience. Successful dissemination strategies include:

- Sharing results with key audiences on campus – administration, student support services offices, financial aid, and student government are well-positioned to address food and housing issues.
- Release to the media – prepare a press release and contact education reporters for local outlets. Sharing via social media accounts (Twitter, Facebook, etc.) can also be effective strategies for reaching a wider audience.
- Publish – studies published in academic journals reach a large community of researchers who can learn from, and build on, survey findings.

Evaluating Programs to Address Basic Needs Security

With growing recognition of the prevalence of student food and housing insecurity, institutions and communities have created numerous services to help students become more secure. To date, practitioners have had little guidance in these efforts because few if any food and housing programs have been rigorously evaluated. Moving forward, the program development process must include high-quality evaluation to ensure that students receive the help they need and that institutions are effectively investing their scarce resources.

To know whether a program “works,” institutions must be confident that it causes meaningful improvements in student outcomes. However, establishing a causal link in college settings can be difficult because different types of students receive different services. An evaluator comparing the outcomes of program recipients to those of non-recipients may be measuring differences in the students themselves and not program impacts. Consider an evaluation of a cafeteria voucher program. An evaluator comparing voucher recipients to non-recipients is likely to find that recipients measure lower on indices of food security and academic achievement. This does not mean that the vouchers have a negative impact on students, however, because non-recipients are not similar to recipients. Because vouchers are need-based, recipients’ worse outcomes are likely due to their financial circumstances, not the vouchers themselves.

KEY TERMS

Causality – The relationship between cause and effect; in other words, does a program or service cause the observed changes in outcomes?

Comparison Group – a group of students who did not participate in the program or receive the service being evaluated but are similar to those who did.
Due to the inherent challenges in evaluating college programs, high-quality research design is essential for determining whether a food or housing program causes improved student outcomes. While there are multiple methods for evaluating effectiveness, all high-quality studies compare the outcomes of students receiving services to a comparison group, a similar set of students who do not receive the service. Without a comparable control group, evaluations are likely measuring the impacts of external factors, such as financial need, rather than the impact of the service itself, as in the voucher example above.

High-quality evaluation designs are either experimental or quasi-experimental. Experimental designs randomly assign students into either treatment (recipient) or control groups, whereas quasi-experimental designs attempt to identify a control group without using random assignment. Typical quasi-experimental designs use “before and after” approaches that compare changes in the outcomes of recipients in relation to the changes in outcomes of a similar group of non-recipients. While evaluators often prefer these designs due to concerns regarding random assignment (see below), college environments limit their usefulness. Typically, colleges offer services to all students who request them or on a first-come first-served basis. Either method can prevent identification of a satisfactory control group. Again, consider the example of cafeteria vouchers. For programs that serve all comers, students who request vouchers likely have greater financial need than those who do not. For first-come first-served programs, students who are quick to sign up for vouchers may be more motivated or have better support than those who are slower, which would lead to better outcomes regardless of the efficacy of the vouchers. In addition, colleges will often introduce several, related programs at the same time. Before and after approaches are unable to differentiate the impacts of these services. For example, if a cafeteria voucher was rolled out at the same time as a housing program and a food pantry, a before and after approach would measure the combined impacts of all the programs but could not measure the impact of the vouchers themselves.

**Embedding Opportunistic, Small-Scale Experiments**

Experimental evaluation designs using randomized controlled trials (RCTs) provide the best possible evidence of program effectiveness and can be simple and inexpensive. A recent U.S. Department of Education guide illustrates how experiments featuring random assignment can be easily implemented in school environments.\(^\text{19}\) What follows is a short overview of this guide’s recommendations.

Experiments are the gold standard for determining program effectiveness because, when done properly, they ensure that the students who receive the service and those who do not are as similar as possible. Using the cafeteria voucher example, in an RCT the evaluator would randomly assign vouchers among a group of students with similar characteristics, financial need in particular, and academic achievement.
Sometimes college administrators, faculty, and staff are uncomfortable with RCTs due to concerns regarding fairness and expense. Most common of those concerns is whether it is ethical to deny a service to students who need it. Without knowing whether that service actually works, however, we cannot know whether we are preventing students from using something that would help them. Also, random assignment can be a fair method for distributing these services when small-scale pilots cannot serve all possible recipients. Another common concern is cost. However, an RCT does not have to be costly if colleges can easily identify participants and already collect the necessary data. Implementing an RCT can be simple and, most importantly, will provide the best evidence for whether a service helps students. RCTs involve several steps:

1. **Find a research partner.** Colleges that would like to conduct an RCT but lack staff with the requisite knowledge should first find a research partner that can provide design guidance and data and analytics expertise. HEART researchers can be hired to provide this expertise.
2. **Identify the students who will be in the study.** For food and housing programs, these students will typically have financial need.
3. **Conduct and monitor random assignment.** Random-number generators can be found in spreadsheet or statistical programs. Research partners can help with randomization.
4. **Collect data.** These data may be from administrative records, such as retention or GPA, or from survey responses to questions about hunger, homelessness, and other material need.
5. **Analyze data.** Analysis of an RCT can be very simple because advanced statistical knowledge is unnecessary when a high-quality control group is already identified.
6. **Share the results.** Research will have the most impact when it is shared widely, particularly with administrators and other policymakers who make decisions regarding services and funding.

**More Support**

We hope that these resources prove useful in your efforts to address basic needs security in higher education. Here are several additional supports for your work:

- For a sample survey of college students’ basic needs insecurity, please see Appendix B.
- If you require additional assistance with surveys or in constructing more rigorous program evaluations, please reach out to Dr. Sara Goldrick-Rab (sgr@temple.edu) for help.
- To aid in producing comparisons between institution-specific data and national trends, the Wisconsin HOPE Lab has published an annotated bibliography of extant studies to date.
- For assistance in developing a campus food pantry, please contact Clare Cady (clare.cady@temple.edu) of Temple University and the College and University Food Bank Alliance.
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Endnotes


11 See: https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/sipp/about/sipp-content-information.html#par_textimage_5

12 Students who indicate housing insecurity in the past 30 days may be more insecure than students who indicate insecurity only in the past 12 months. Surveying students on both time periods provides a more nuanced measure of student need.


15 Since we have had very limited resources with which to conduct national surveys, and institutions are unwilling to provide the information needed to construct effective subsamples, Wisconsin HOPE Lab surveys have always been administered to the full population of enrolled students.


[STUDY NAME]
Cover and Reminder Letter

[DATE]

Dear [fill student first name],

We need your help. College is expensive and getting harder to afford every day. We need to know more about the challenges that you face. In order to create colleges that can help students like you overcome these challenges and finish college, we are conducting the [STUDY NAME] on behalf of [ORGANIZATION NAME] and want you to participate!

We have included a link to a questionnaire for you to fill out and share your experiences with us. You are not obligated to participate, but we do hope that you will help!

[SURVEY LINK]

To thank you for your help, after you complete the survey you can choose to enter a random drawing to receive one of ten $100 awards that will be given to students at your college.

Thank you in advance for your participation! If you have any questions about this study, please call me at [SURVEY CONTACT’S PHONE NUMBER].

Sincerely,

[SURVEY CONTACT NAME, TITLE, AND CONTACT INFORMATION]

I. Your college experience

Q1. As of today, which college do you attend? (answers will be by dropdown options)

Q2. As of today, are you attending college full-time or part-time?
   1. Full-time (at least 12 credits)
   2. Part-time (less than 12 credits)

Q3. Currently, what program are you in?
   1. Technical or vocational certificate or diploma (less than 2-year degree)
   2. Associate’s degree (2-year degree)
   3. GED program
   4. Bachelor’s degree
   5. I am not in a program

Q4. How many years have you been in college?

Q5. Thinking about the past academic year, which of the following best describes your grade point average?
   1. A
   2. A-
   3. B+
   4. B
   5. C+
   6. C
   7. D+
   8. D
   9. F
   10. No grade or don’t know
II. How you pay for college

Q6. Which of the following ways do you pay for the expenses associated with attending college? (check all that apply)
   1. I have a work-study job
   2. I work at a job that isn’t a work-study job
   3. I get the Pell Grant
   4. I get other grants from the federal or state government
   5. I get a grant from my college
   6. I take out student loans
   7. I get help from family or friends
   8. I use savings
   9. I use credit cards
   10. My employer pays
   11. Other_________________

Q7. In the past 30 days have you been looking for work?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q8. Last week, did you have a job where you worked for pay or profit? Include a job even if you were temporarily absent from it last week.
   1. Yes
   2. No

If yes, then:

Q9. LAST WEEK, not including Federal Work-Study, did you have more than one job? Please do include a job even if you were temporarily absent from it last week. Also include part-time, evening, or weekend work.
   1. Yes
   2. No, I only had one job.

Q10. LAST WEEK, not including Federal Work-Study, how many jobs did you have?

Q11. On the days that you work at your main job, are you at your place of work at any time between the following hours?
   Q11a. Between 8 a.m. and 12 p.m.
   Q11b. Between 12 p.m. and 6 p.m.
   Q11c. Between 6 p.m. and 10 p.m.
   Q11d. Between 10 p.m. and 2 a.m.
   Q11e. Between 2 a.m. and 8 a.m.
Q12. In a typical week at your main job, about how many hours do you generally work?

Q13. From week to week at your main job, how often does your work schedule change, either the times of day you work or the actual days on which you work?
   1. Never
   2. Rarely
   3. Sometimes
   4. Often
   5. Always

Q14. Approximately how much does your main job pay per hour, week, month, or year?
   1. per hour
   2. per week
   3. per month
   4. per year

Q15. Thinking back to the last full week that began on a Monday and ended on a Sunday, for about how many total hours and minutes did you spend doing each of the following activities?

   If you did not do an activity during the last full week, please enter “0” hours and “0” minutes.

   Q15a. Working for pay  
   Q15b. Commuting to or from work or school  
   Q15c. Sleeping  
   Q15d. Leisure activities (for example, spending time with friends, watching TV or movies, using the internet for leisure, talking or texting on the phone)  
   Q15e. Taking care of a child or adult family member  
   Q15f. Attending college classes, labs, or discussion sections either in person or online  
   Q15g. Preparing for class by yourself or with others by studying, reading, writing, rehearsing, or doing other academic activities

Q15. In the past 12 months, has anyone in your family under age 18 received free or reduced-price breakfast or lunch at school?
Q16. For each program, please indicate whether you received assistance in the last 12 months.

1. SNAP (food stamps)
2. WIC (nutritional assistance for pregnant women and children)
3. TANF (public cash assistance; formerly called ADC or ADFC)
4. SSI (supplemental security income)
5. SSDI (social security disability income)
6. Medicaid or Public health insurance
7. Child care assistance
8. Unemployment compensation/insurance
9. Utility assistance
10. Housing assistance
11. Transportation assistance
12. Tax refunds
13. Veterans benefits (Veteran’s Administration benefits for a servicemen’s, widow’s, or survivor’s pension, service disability or the GI bill

III. Your economic experiences

Q17. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you:

1. Receive free food or meals?
2. Go hungry because you could not afford more food?
3. Not pay or underpay your rent or mortgage?
4. Get evicted from your home?
5. Receive a summons to appear in housing court?
6. Not pay the full amount of a gas, oil, or electricity bill?
7. Borrow money from friends or family to help pay bills?
8. Have an account default or go into collections?
9. Move in with other people, even for a little while, because of financial problems?
10. Live with others beyond the expected capacity of the house or apartment?
11. Stay at a shelter?
12. Stay in an abandoned building, an automobile, or any other place not meant for regular housing, even for one night?
13. Not know where you were going to sleep at night, even for one night?
14. How much money do you make at your job per week?

Q18. Thinking about the last 30 days (12 months), how true would you say the following statements are?

Q18a. “I worried whether my food would run out before I got money to buy more.” Was that often true, sometimes true, or never true for you in the last 30 days (12 months)?

a. Often true
b. Sometimes true
c. Never true
Q18b. “The food that I bought just didn’t last and I didn’t have money to get more.” Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 30 days (12 months)?
   d. Often true
   e. Sometimes true
   f. Never true

Q18c. “I couldn’t afford to eat balanced meals.” Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 30 days (12 months)?
   g. Often true
   h. Sometimes true
   i. Never true

If respondent answers “Often true” or “Sometimes true” for any one of questions Q18a, Q18b, or Q18c, then:

Q18d. In the last 30 days (12 months), did you ever cut the size of your meals or skip meals because there wasn’t enough money for food?
   1. Yes
   2. No

If yes, then:

Q18e. If using the 30 day version: In the last 30 days, how many days did this happen?
      If using the 12 month version: How often did this happen – almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?

Q18f. In the last 30 days (12 months), did you ever eat less than you felt you should because there wasn’t enough money for food?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q18g. In the last 30 days (12 months), were you ever hungry but didn’t eat because there wasn’t enough money for food?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q18h. In the last 30 days (12 months), did you lose weight because there wasn’t enough money for food?
   1. Yes
   2. No
If respondent answers “Yes” to any one of questions Q18d, Q18f, Q18g, or Q18h, then:

Q18i. In the last 30 days (12 months), did you ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn’t enough money for food?
   1. Yes
   2. No

If yes, then:

Q18j. If using the 30 day version: In the last 30 days, how many days did this happen? If using the 12 month version: How often did this happen – almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?

Q19. Do you purchase in a college meal plan?
   1. Yes
   2. No

If yes, then:

Q20. How many meals does your meal plan provide each week?
   1. 0-11
   2. 12-15
   3. 16 or more

Q21. How many meals do you think you eat in the dining hall in a typical week?
   a. 0-11
   b. 12-15
   c. 16 or more

Q22. In the past 12 months, did you ever not eat or eat less than you felt you should during winter and spring breaks because the dining halls were closed?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q23. Is there a food pantry on your campus?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   3. I don’t know
If yes, then:

Q24. Have you utilized the food pantry on campus?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q25. Currently, where do you live?
   1. House
   2. Duplex, or multi-family house
   3. Apartment
   4. Mobile home or trailer
   5. College residence hall or dormitory
   6. Do not have a home—please specify
   7. Other—please specify

Q26. Does your college have on-campus residence halls?
   1. Yes
   2. No

If yes, then:

Q27. In the last 12 months, have you ever not known where you would stay during winter/spring breaks because the on-campus residence halls were closed?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q28. In the past 12 months, were there times when you stayed in someone else’s room in an on-campus residence hall because you didn’t have anywhere else to sleep?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q29. In the past 12 months, were there times you stayed in someone else’s room in an on-campus residence hall but had to leave because of administration rules?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q30. Do you share your residence with other people? If yes, check all that apply:
   1. Parent(s) or guardian(s)
   2. Child
   3. Spouse, significant other, or partner
   4. Extended family (e.g. grandparent, cousin)
   5. Roommate
   6. Other: ____________
Q31. In the past 30 days (12 months), was there a rent or mortgage increase that made it difficult to pay?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q32. Do you:

   Q32a. Own your home/make mortgage payments?
        1. Yes
        2. No

   Q32b. Rent your home?
        1. Yes
        2. No

   Q32c. Neither own nor rent? Please explain.
        1. Shelter + Care pays my rent
        2. Parents’ old house
        3. I pay room and board plus utilities and for my own food and all my own expenses
        4. Live with others

Q33. Is your home in a public housing project, owned by a local housing authority or other public agency?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q34. Do you receive a public housing voucher, such as Section 8, to subsidize the cost of private housing?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q35. Can you tell me whether you think you spend more than thirty percent of your total household monthly income or less than thirty percent of your total household income on your rent or mortgage payment?
   1. More than thirty percent
   2. Less than thirty percent
   3. Not sure

Q36. Do you have a computer at home?
   1. Yes
   2. No
Q37. Do you have wireless internet capacity in your home?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q38. Is there someplace in your home where you can be alone to read or study?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q39. In the past 30 days (12 months), how many times have you moved?

Q40. How safe do you feel where you currently live?
   1. Not at all safe
   2. A little bit safe
   3. Somewhat safe
   4. Very safe
   5. Extremely safe

Q41. In the past 30 days (12 months), did you leave your household because you felt unsafe?
   1. Yes
   2. No

Q42. In the past 30 days (12 months), were you thrown out of your home by someone else in the household?
   1. Yes
   2. No

IV. About you

Q43. What sex were you assigned at birth, on your birth certificate?
   1. Female
   2. Male

Q44. How do you describe yourself? (check one)
   1. Male
   2. Female
   3. Transgender
   4. Do not identify as female, male, or transgender.

Q45. Do you consider yourself to be:
   1. Heterosexual or straight
   2. Gay or lesbian
   3. Bisexual
Q46. In what year were you born?

Q47. What is the highest level of education completed by Parent 1?
   1. Eighth grade or lower
   2. Between 9th and 12th grade (but no high school diploma)
   3. High school diploma
   4. GED
   5. Some college (but no college degree)
   6. College certificate or diploma
   7. Associate’s degree
   8. Bachelor’s degree
   9. Graduate degree
   10. Don’t know

Q48. What is the highest level of education completed by Parent 2?
   1. Eighth grade or lower
   2. Between 9th and 12th grade (but no high school diploma)
   3. High school diploma
   4. GED
   5. Some college (but not college degree)
   6. College certificate or diploma
   7. Associate’s degree
   8. Bachelor’s degree
   9. Graduate degree
   10. Don’t know

Q49. How do you usually describe your race and/or ethnicity? (Select all that apply)
   1. White or Caucasian
   2. African American or Black
   3. Hispanic or Latino
   4. American Indian or Alaskan Native
   5. Arab or Middle Eastern or Arab American
   6. Southeast Asian
   7. Pacific Insider
   8. Other Asian or Asian-American
   9. Other (please specify)
   10. Not applicable—I would prefer not to identify my race/ethnicity

Q50. Are you a U.S. citizen or permanent resident?

Q51. Is Parent 1 a U.S. citizen or permanent resident?
Q52. Is Parent 2 a U.S. citizen or permanent resident?

Q53. Have you ever served in the U.S. Armed Force, military Reserves, or National Guard? (Please select the answer that is most applicable)

Q54. In the last year, did a parent or guardian claim you as a “dependent” for tax purposes?

Q55. How would you describe your current relationship status?
   1. Single
   2. In a relationship
   3. Married or domestic partnership
   4. Divorced
   5. Widowed

Q56. Do you have any biological, adopted, step or foster children?

If yes, then:

Q57. Please indicate the number of biological, adopted, step, or foster children you have in each of the following categories:
   1. Under age 1
   2. Ages 1-5
   3. Ages 6-12
   4. Ages 13-18
   5. Ages 19 or older

Q58. Have you ever been in foster care?
   1. Yes
   2. No

If yes, then:

Q59. At what age did you first enter foster care? (dropdown with options for ages 0-17)